

FINANCIAL ACCOUNTING FORMATION 2 EXAMINATION - APRIL 2016

NOTES:

You are required to answer Question 1. You are also required to answer any **three** out of Questions 2 to 5. Should you provide answers to all of Questions 2 to 5, you must draw a clearly distinguishable line through the answer not to be marked. Otherwise, only the first three answers to hand for Questions 2 to 5 will be marked.

Note: Students have optional use of the Extended Trial Balance, which if used, must be included in the answer booklet.

Provided are pro-forma:

Statements of Profit or Loss and Other Comprehensive Income By Expense, Statements of Profit or Loss and Other Comprehensive Income By Function, and Statements of Financial Position.

TIME ALLOWED:

3.5 hours, plus 10 minutes to read the paper.

INSTRUCTIONS:

During the reading time you may write notes on the examination paper but you may not commence writing in your answer book.

Marks for each question are shown. The pass mark required is 50% in total over the whole paper.

Start your answer to each question on a new page.

You are reminded to pay particular attention to your communication skills and care must be taken regarding the format and literacy of your solutions. The marking system will take into account the content of your answers and the extent to which answers are supported with relevant legislation, case law or examples where appropriate.

List on the cover of each answer booklet, in the space provided, the number of each question attempted.

THE INSTITUTE OF CERTIFIED PUBLIC ACCOUNTANTS IN IRELAND

FINANCIAL ACCOUNTING

FORMATION 2 EXAMINATION - APRIL 2016

Time allowed: 3.5 hours plus 10 minutes to read the paper.

Answer Question 1 and three of the remaining four questions.

Note: Students have optional use of the Extended Trial Balance, which if used, must be included in the answer booklet.

1.

(a) Identify and explain the fundamental differences between a company and a partnership. (10 Marks)

(b) Rubroc Limited is a company involved in the distribution of quarry material for the construction and agricultural industries. The following trial balance was extracted from their books as at 31 December 2015:

	Debit	Credit
	€	€
Accumulated Depreciation - Building - 1 January 2015		34,750
Accumulated Depreciation - Office Equipment - 1 January 2015		26,840
Accumulated Depreciation - Trucks - 1 January 2015		350,000
Admininstrative Expenses	103,510	
Allowance for Trade Receivables		10,574
Bank	267,410	
Building at Cost at 1 January 2015	241,020	
Current Tax Payable		16,500
Distribution Costs	754,210	
Income Tax	13,640	
Inventory at 1 January 2015	nil	
Issued Share Capital - 100,000 at €1.50 each		150,000
Land	240,000	
Office Equipment at Cost at 1 January 2015	42,400	
Purchases / Purchase Returns	1,236,570	10,869
Retained Earnings at 1 January 2015		593,119
Revenue Returns / Revenue	14,570	2,478,560
Revaluation Surplus		64,851
Share Premium		30,000
Trade Payables		324,867
Trade Receivables	487,600	,
Trucks at Cost at 1 January 2015	690,000	
•		
	4,090,930	4,090,930

The following information, based on your investigations, has also come to your attention:

- (i) Expenses are to be allocated evenly between Distribution Costs and Administrative Expenses unless otherwise stated. Interest on loans is to be included in Finance Costs.
- (ii) There is no closing inventory.
- (iii) Depreciation is to be charged as follows:

Land Not Depreciated
Buildings See Part v below
Office Equipment
Trucks 25% Straight Line on Cost

Depreciation is calculated monthly from date of purchase to the date of sale.

- (iv) A truck was involved in an accident on 1 May 2015 and had to be scrapped. €15,000 was received for the scrappage of this truck. This amount was lodged to the bank account. The truck had originally cost €75,000 on 1 September 2013. Rubroc Limited replaced the truck by purchasing a new truck costing €80,000 on 1 July 2015.
- (v) The new truck in part (iv) above was partially paid for by Rubroc Limited by cheque and by taking out a long term loan of €60,000 carrying interest at 6% per annum which was not paid for by year-end.
- (vi) The building was revalued on 1 January 2015 by a professional valuer who valued the building at €200,000. The valuer places a useful economic life of twenty years from 1 January 2015 and that the residual value of the building in twenty year's time would be €50,000.
- (vii) A customer of Rubroc Limited is suing the company, claiming that incorrect material was delivered. Rubroc Limited's solicitor has advised that it is more likely than not the company will be found liable. This would result in Rubroc Limited being required to pay court and legal fees of €15,000. The judge in the case will decide on damages. There is an 80% chance that the damages would be €100,000 whereas there is a 20% chance the damages would be €60,000. Rubroc Limited intends to sue the quarry which it purchased the material from for €80,000. The quarry disputes this claim by Rubroc Limited.
- (viii) The income tax amount for the 2015 year included in the above trial balance is incorrect and should be €18,900. This amount was paid in March 2016.
- (ix) A VAT refund of €6,000 relating to purchases has not been accounted for. This amount was received in February 2016.
- (x) Rubroc Limited wrote off bad debts of €7,200. The Allowance for Doubtful Debts should be set at 4%.
- (xi) Rubroc Limited had amounts owing at the year-end for Administrative Expenses and Distribution Costs of €2,860 and €14,520 respectively.

REQUIREMENT:

Prepare, in a form suitable for publication, a Statement of Profit or Loss and Other Comprehensive Income and a Statement of Financial Position for Rubroc Limited for the financial year-ended 31 December 2015.

Note: All workings should be shown. (30 Marks)

2. The bank account of Collatc Limited for the month of December 2015 was as follows:

Dr.		tc Limited		Cr.		
Date	Receipts	€	Date	Payments	Cheque No.	€
01/12/2015	Balance b/d	28,754	01/12/2015	T. O'Sullivan	8754	147
05/12/2015	R. Green	1,540	07/12/2015	P. Condon	8755	548
07/12/2015	D. Lynch	8,754	09/12/2015	Electricity	D.D.	249
14/12/2015	Cash Lodgement	1,500	10/12/2015	T. White	8756	457
19/12/2015	Rach Limited	3,245	14/12/2015	Bank Charges	D.D.	30
31/12/2015	T. O'Carroll	890	16/12/2015	B. Purcell	8757	1,654
			21/12/2015	G. Foley	8758	290
			22/12/2015	Maheraly Limited	S.O.	620
			25/12/2015	T. Brennan	8759	1,499
			30/12/2015	K. Houlihan	8760	2,147
			31/12/2015	Balance c/d		37,042
		44,683				44,683
01/01/2016	Balance b/d	37,042				
	Bank State	ment for C	ollatc Limite	ed for December 20	<u>15</u>	
<u>Date</u>	<u>Description</u>	Details	Payments	<u>Lodgment</u>	<u>Balance</u>	
			€	€	€	
01/12/2015	Balance				28,471	
02/12/2015	Lodgement			670	29,141	
07/12/2015	Lodgement			1,450	30,591	
08/12/2015	Cheque	8751	245		30,346	
09/12/2015	Cheque	8754	147		30,199	
09/12/2015	Electricity	D.D.	249		29,950	
11/12/2015	Lodgement			8,754	38,704	
13/12/2015		8753	142		38,562	
14/12/2015	Bank Charges	D.D.	30		38,532	
16/12/2015	Cheque	8756	475		38,057	
18/12/2015	Lodgement			1,500	39,557	
	Lodgement			3,254	42,811	
21/12/2015		8758	290	,	42,521	
	Maheraly Limited	S.O.	620		41,901	
	1245785621			999	42,900	
26/12/2015		D.D.	486		42,414	
28/12/2015		D.D.	1,600		40,814	
	Credit Transfer		.,	2,451	43,265	

Collatc Limited on the 23 December 2015.

REQUIREMENT:

Prepare the bank reconciliation statement for Collatc Limited at the 31 December 2015. (a) (18 Marks)

(b) Explain why the bank statement is usually taken as being more accurate than the details that appear in the company's own records.

(2 Marks)

3.

(A) Durine Limited is a company involved in building wind farms in Ireland. The financial controller has asked you, a newly qualified CPA for some help in correctly accounting for property, plant and equipment (PPE) within the company for the financial year ending 31 December 2015.

The following costs have occurred on a wind farm site:

	€
Preparation of Site	80,000
Annual maintenance once operational	30,000
VAT on materials (recoverable)	120,000
Staff training on correctly operating the wind farm once operational	25,000
Import Duty on materials purchased	28,000
Initial Surveying of site	40,000
Project manager's salary to build and manage the wind farm	140,000
Wages of employees to build the wind farm	300,000
Annual wages of employees once the wind farm is operating	100,000
Testing costs	60,000
Materials purchased for wind farm net of VAT	250,000
Discount received on materials purchased	33,000

REQUIREMENT:

- (a) Calculate the amount that should be capitalised as property, plant and equipment for the above wind farm.

 (5 Marks)
- **(b)** In accordance with IAS 16 *Property, Plant & Equipment* explain the accounting treatment allowed for the measurement of PPE:
 - (i) At recognition;

(ii) After recognition. (3 Marks)

- (c) In the context of IAS 16 Property, Plant & Equipment:
 - (i) Discuss what is meant by the term 'Fair Value';
 - (ii) How can the fair value of a building be determined? (3 Marks)
- (B) Durine Limited's head office building is the only building it owns. Using professional valuers, it revalued this building on 1 January 2015, at €2,100,000. Durine Limited has adopted a revaluation policy for buildings from this valuation date and has decided that the original useful life of buildings has not changed as a result of the revaluation. The building was acquired on 1 January 2005. The cost of the building on acquisition was €2,500,000 and the accumulated depreciation to the 31 December 2014 amounted to €500,000. The depreciation up to 1 January 2015 was depreciated evenly since acquisition. The professional valuer believes that the residual value on the building would be €600,000 at the end of its useful life.

REQUIREMENT:

In accordance with IAS 16 – *Property, Plant & Equipment*:

(i) How should the depreciable amount of an asset be allocated? (1 Mark)

(ii) How often should the residual value and the useful life of an asset be reviewed? (1 Mark)

(iii) Calculate the depreciation amount of the building for the year ending 31 December 2015 based on the information provided in the above scenario.

(7 Marks)

4. Terry and Thomas are in partnership sharing profits and losses 2:1. They had originally invested €50,000 and €40,000, respectively. Their current account balances on 1 January 2015 were €14,000 credit for Terry and €9,900 debit for Thomas.

The partnership agreement specifies the following:

- 1) The payment of interest on drawings and receipt of interest on capital at the rate of 5% per annum and 3% per annum, respectively.
- 2) The partners take drawings in the same proportion as they share profits or losses. Terry takes drawings of €2,400 a month with Thomas taking the amount of drawings as allowed by the partnership rules.
- 3) Thomas is entitled to take a salary of €2,000 a month.
- 4) The interest on drawings is calculated as if the drawings for the six month period had been taken in full on the first day of the period.

On 1 July 2015, Terry decides to retire. Both partners agree to have the partnership valued and bring in the resultant goodwill into the partnership. Terry agrees to leave €30,000 of his capital as a loan to the business earning interest at the rate of 4% per annum and to withdraw the balance of what is owing to him. An independant expert values the goodwill on 1 July at €90,000.

On 1 July, Thomas decides to enter a new partnership with Toby where they share profits or losses 3:1. They decided to keep the same interest rates from the previous partnership agreement in relation to drawings and capital. Thomas's salary was changed to €2,200 a month. From this period to the year-end, Thomas took drawings of €10,000 and Toby took drawings of €5,000. Toby introduced capital of €25,000 on his admission to the partnership. The goodwill was cancelled in the same proportion as they share profits or losses.

The profits for the year amounted to €108,000 and these profits occurred evenly throughout the year.

REQUIREMENT:

For the year ended 31 December 2015:

(a) Prepare the Profit & Loss Appropriation Accounts. (12 Marks)

(b) Post to and balance the Current Account of all the individual partners. (8 Marks)

5. Redona Limited operates a hotel in Dublin and the following is its results for the last three years with its year end being 31 December.

	2013	2014	2015
Revenue increase / (decrease)	(5%)	4%	12%
Non-Current Assets increase / (decrease)	40%	10%	2%
Gross Profit	60%	61%	66%
Net Profit	23%	25%	21%
Return on Capital Employed	12%	15%	10%
Current Ratio	1.4:1	1.6:1	1.8:1
Acid Ratio	0.6:1	1.0:1	0.9:1
Debt to Equity Ratio	50%	44%	43%
Dividend Cover	4 times	8 times	10 times

REQUIREMENT:

(a) Using all of the above information, comment on the performance of Redona Limited from 2013 to 2015.

(12 Marks)

(b) Identify and explain the main advantages of ratio analysis as a means of assessing the financial performance of a business.

(5 Marks)

(c) Comment on the use of the Gross Profit ratio to the service industry.

(3 Marks)

[Total: 20 Marks]

END OF PAPER

SUGGESTED SOLUTIONS

THE INSTITUTE OF CERTIFIED PUBLIC ACCOUNTANTS IN IRELAND

FINANCIAL ACCOUNTING

FORMATION 2 EXAMINATION - APRIL 2016

SOLUTION 1

(a) Discuss the differences that exist between a company and a partnership.

Name - A partnership cannot use the word "limited" in its name.

No separate legal personality – a partnership has no separate legal personality, separate from its partners/members of the partnership. However, a company does have a separate personality from its shareholders. A company owns its property, not the shareholders. Partners own the partnership property.

Unlimited Personal Liability— a partnership has unlimited liability for all the debts of the firm (except under the Limited Partnership Act 1907) whereas shareholders in a company have liability limited.

Succession – when one partner dies, the partnership is dissolved unless the partnership agreement provides otherwise. However, a company has "perpetual succession". Shareholders may die but the company continues until it is wound up.

Management – a partnership is managed by the partners together – they are the shareholders, managers and workers. A company is managed by the directors not the shareholders.

Shares – partners have a share in a partnership as agreed between them. A partner's share cannot be transferred without the consent of the other partners. In reality there is not a substantial difference in the definition of a share between a company and a partnership.

Size – a partnership can have between 2 and 20 partners, except solicitors and accountants. A private company can have more and a public company can have 7 or more shareholders.

Regulation – a company has Memorandum & Articles of Association and a partnership usually has a Partnership Agreement to regulate its affairs.

Legislation – The Partnership Act, 1890 is the primary piece of law (legislation) which governs partnerships (and the Limited Partnership Act, 1907). Companies are governed by the Companies Acts 1963 – to date, and various EU Regulations.

Taxation – it is often said that partnerships are tax-transparent. Tax is paid by the partners on the profits each partner makes at the usual income tax levels for an individual. A company, being a separate legal personality, pays corporation tax (currently at 12.5%) but in addition to this, the shareholders will pay tax on any dividends received from the company.

Accounts – Partnerships are not required to file accounts in the Company Records Office. Companies must file accounts at the Company Records Office. Therefore while a lot of a company's financial details are a matter of public record, Partnerships financial details are kept private.

Withdrawal of Capital – Technically speaking, partners may withdraw their capital contributions without any restrictions (unless regulated by the partnership agreement). A shareholder cannot, generally, withdraw his/her capital under the capital maintenance rules of the Companies Acts.

In Court – A company cannot represent itself in court, it must do so through a solicitor. Any of the partners in a partnership can represent themselves in court.

(10 Marks)

	-	€	€	€	€	
Revenue	ТВ				2,478,560	0.25
- Revenue Returns	ТВ				- 14,570	0.25
Cost of Sales	W2			<u>-</u>	- 1,219,701	
Gross Profit					1,244,289	0.25
Finance Costs	W1.v			1,800		0.25
Distribution Costs	W2			938,832		
Administrative Expenses	W2			276,472	1,217,104	0.25
Profit/(Loss) before Tax					27,185	0.25
Income Tax Expense	TB + W1.viii		13,640	5,260 _	18,900	0.25
PROFIT/(LOSS) FOR THE YEAR					8,285	0.25
Other Comprehensive Income						
Revaluation Loss	W3				- 6,270	0.25
Other Comprehensive Income for the year, net of tax				_	- 6,270	
TOTAL COMPREHENSIVE INCOME FOR THE YEAR				<u>-</u>	2,015	0.25
Rubroc Limited Statement of Financial Position as at 31st	December 2015			_		
Nubioc Elimited Statement of Financial Fosition as at 515t	December 2015	€	€	€	€	
Property, Plant & Equipment	W3	<u>=</u>	<u>=</u>	=	651,198	0.25
Total Non-Current Assets				-	651,198	0.25
				_		V
Current Assets Inventories	W1.ii					
Trade Receivables	W1.x				- 461,184	0.50
Other Receivables	W1.ix				6,000	0.30
Cash & Cash Equivalents	TB + W1.iv + W1.v	267,410	15.000 -	20,000	262,410	0.25
Total Current Assets	15 . Willy . Will	201,410	10,000	20,000 _	729,594	0.25
TOTAL ASSETS				=	1,380,792	0.25
				=	1,300,792	0.23
Equity & Liabilities						
Equity					450,000	
Share Capital					150,000	0.25
Share Premium Retained Earnings	TB + SOPL		593.119	8,285	30,000 601,404	0.25 0.25
Revaluation Surplus	W3		64,851 -		58,581	0.25
Total Equity	VVS		04,001 -	0,270 _	839,985	0.25
Non-Current Liabilities				-	659,965	0.23
Long-term Loan	W1.v				60,000	0.25
Total Non-Current Liabilities	VV 1.V			-	60,000	0.23
Current Liabilities				-		
Trade Payables	ТВ				324,867	0.25
Current Tax Payable	TB + W1.viii		16,500	5,260	21,760	0.25
Provisions	W1.vii		10,000	5,200	115,000	0.25
Accruals	W1.v + W1.xi	1,800	2,860	14,520	19,180	0.25
Total Current Liabilities		.,	_,•	,	480,807	0.25
TOTAL EQUITY & LIABILITIES				Ξ	1,380,792	0.25
TOTAL EGOTT & LIABILITIES				=	1,500,732	0.23
				SUBTO	TAL MARKS	7.50

(b)

ii		No Closing Inventory at year-end	Working - Journal Entrie	<u>:5</u>	€	€		
iv		Bank Disposal Account - Trucks	+ Current Assets	SOFP	15,000	15,000		
	Cr.	Disposal Account - Trucks Property, Plant & Equipment (PPE) Accumulated Depreciation - PPE	- Non-Current Assets + Non-Current Assets	SOFP SOFP	75,000 31,250	75,000	2.00	
	Cr. Dr.	Disposal Account - Trucks Loss on Disposal Disposal Account - Trucks	+ Expenses	SOPL & OCI	28,750	31,250 28,750		
,	Dr.	Property, Plant & Equipment (PPE)	+ Non-Current Assets + Non-Current Liabilities	SOFP SOFP	80,000	60,000	1.00	
	Cr.	Long-term Loan Bank Finance Costs	- Current Assets + Expenses	SOFF SOFP SOPL & OCI	1,800	20,000	1.00	
		Accruals Loan	+ Current Liabilities	SOFP	60,000	1,800		
		Loan Interest Time Apportion		6% 6 Months	3,600 1,800			
		Revaluation Loss (W3) Property, Plant & Equipment (PPE)	- Equity - Non-Current Assets	SOFP SOFP	6,270	6,270	1.00	
	Dr.	Depreciation - Administrative Expenses Depreciation - Distribution Costs Accumulated Depreciation - PPE	+ Expenses + Expenses - Non-Current Assets	SOPL & OCI SOPL & OCI SOFP	3,750 3,750	7,500	1.00	
		Calculation of Depreciation on Buildings						
		Revalued Amount - Residual Value Remaining Useful Life		200,000	20	50,000		
		Depreciation for the year		7,500				
vii		IAS 37 Provisions, Contingent Liabilities & Contingent Asset Given more likely than not that Rubroc will be found guilty,	a present obligation is assume		•			
	Dr	Given more likely than not that Rubroc will be found guilty, Given that a single obligation is being measured, a provision para 40. Consequently, a provision is recognised for €15,000 and €	a present obligation is assume on is made for the outflow of th 100,000 i.e. €115,000	e most likely outcor	ne, IAS 37			
	Dr.	Given more likely than not that Rubroc will be found guilty, Given that a single obligation is being measured, a provision para 40.	a present obligation is assume on is made for the outflow of th		•	115,000	2.00	
	Dr.	Given more likely than not that Rubroc will be found guilty, Given that a single obligation is being measured, a provision para 40. Consequently, a provision is recognised for €15,000 and € Administrative Expenses Distribution Costs	a present obligation is assume on is made for the outflow of the (100,000 i.e. €115,000 + Expenses + Expenses + Current Liabilities et. eents whose existence will only lly within the control of the entiticial statements and therefore, the one of the control of the entiticial statements and therefore, the one of the entitic the entitic the outflow of the entitic the entit	SOPL & OCI SOPL & OCI SOFP be confirmed by the	57,500 57,500		2.00	
	Dr. Cr. Dr.	Given more likely than not that Rubroc will be found guilty, Given that a single obligation is being measured, a provision para 40. Consequently, a provision is recognised for €15,000 and € Administrative Expenses Distribution Costs Provisions Rubroc suing its supplier may give rise to a contingent ass A contingent asset is a possible asset arising from past evoccurence of one or more uncertain future events not whol However, a contingent asset is not recognised in the finance	a present obligation is assume on is made for the outflow of the (100,000 i.e. €115,000 + Expenses + Expenses + Current Liabilities et. eents whose existence will only lly within the control of the entiticial statements and therefore, the one of the control of the entiticial statements and therefore, the one of the entitic the entitic the outflow of the entitic the entit	SOPL & OCI SOPL & OCI SOFP be confirmed by the	57,500 57,500			
iiii K	Dr. Cr. Dr. Cr. Dr. Cr.	Given more likely than not that Rubroc will be found guilty, Given that a single obligation is being measured, a provision para 40. Consequently, a provision is recognised for €15,000 and € Administrative Expenses Distribution Costs Provisions Rubroc suing its supplier may give rise to a contingent ass A contingent asset is a possible asset arising from past evoccurence of one or more uncertain future events not whole However, a contingent asset is not recognised in the financian Rubroc's financial statements for the year-ended 31 Decorporation of the provided in the financian Rubroc's financial statements for the year-ended 31 Decorporation of the provided in the financian Rubroc's financial statements for the year-ended 31 Decorporation of the provided in the financian Rubroc's financial statements for the year-ended 31 Decorporation of the provided in the financian Rubroc's financial statements for the year-ended 31 Decorporation of the provided in the financian Rubroc's financial statements for the year-ended 31 Decorporation of the provided in the financian Rubroc's financial statements for the year-ended 31 Decorporation of the provided in the financian Rubroc's financial statements for the year-ended 31 Decorporation Rubroc's financial statements for the year-ended 31 Decorporation Rubroc's financian Rubroc's f	a present obligation is assume on is made for the outflow of is. €115,000 + Expenses + Expenses + Current Liabilities set. ents whose existence will only lly within the control of the entitical statements and therefore, to outflow	SOPL & OCI SOPL & OCI SOPP & OCI SOFP be confirmed by the ty. the €80,000 cannot SOPL & OCI SOFP SOFP SOPL & OCI	57,500 57,500 57,500 be recognised 5,260 6,000	t	1.00	
iii	Dr. Cr. Dr. Cr. Dr. Cr.	Given more likely than not that Rubroc will be found guilty, Given that a single obligation is being measured, a provision para 40. Consequently, a provision is recognised for €15,000 and € Administrative Expenses Distribution Costs Provisions Rubroc suing its supplier may give rise to a contingent ass A contingent asset a raising from past evoccurence of one or more uncertain future events not whole However, a contingent asset is not recognised in the finance in Rubroc's financial statements for the year-ended 31 Decolncome Tax Current Tax Payable Other Receivables	a present obligation is assume on is made for the outflow of the 100,000 i.e. €115,000 + Expenses + Expenses + Current Liabilities iet. ents whose existence will only lly within the control of the entitical statements and therefore, to the comber 2015. + Expenses + Current Liabilities + Current Assets	e most likely outcor SOPL & OCI SOPL & OCI SOFP be confirmed by the y. the €80,000 cannot SOPL & OCI SOFP SOFP	57,500 57,500 57,500 be recognised	5,260	1.00	
iii	Dr. Cr. Dr. Cr. Dr. Cr. Dr. Cr.	Given more likely than not that Rubroc will be found guilty, Given that a single obligation is being measured, a provision para 40. Consequently, a provision is recognised for €15,000 and € Administrative Expenses Distribution Costs Provisions Rubroc suing its supplier may give rise to a contingent asse A contingent asset is a possible asset arising from past evoccurence of one or more uncertain future events not whol However, a contingent asset is not recognised in the financi in Rubroc's financial statements for the year-ended 31 Decolncome Tax Current Tax Payable Other Receivables Purchases Bad Debt Write Off Trade Receivables Allowance for Doubtful Debts Allowance for Doubtful Debts	a present obligation is assume on is made for the outflow of the following of the followin	e most likely outcor SOPL & OCI SOPL & OCI SOFP be confirmed by the ty. the €80,000 cannot SOPL & OCI SOFP SOFP SOPL & OCI SOPL & OCI	57,500 57,500 57,500 be recognised 5,260 6,000 7,200 8,642	5,260 6,000	1.00	
iii	Dr. Cr. Dr. Cr. Dr. Cr. Dr. Cr.	Given more likely than not that Rubroc will be found guilty, Given that a single obligation is being measured, a provision para 40. Consequently, a provision is recognised for €15,000 and € Administrative Expenses Distribution Costs Provisions Rubroc suing its supplier may give rise to a contingent ass A contingent asset is a possible asset arising from past evoccurence of one or more uncertain future events not whole However, a contingent asset is not recognised in the financian Rubroc's financial statements for the year-ended 31 Deconcome Tax Current Tax Payable Other Receivables Purchases Bad Debt Write Off Trade Receivables Allowance for Doubtful Debts Allowance for Doubtful Debts Trade Receivables - Bad Debt Written Off	a present obligation is assume on is made for the outflow of the entered of the outflow outflo	SOPL & OCI SOPL & OCI SOPP & OCI SOFP be confirmed by the ty. the €80,000 cannot SOPL & OCI SOFP SOPL & OCI SOFP SOPL & OCI	57,500 57,500 57,500 be recognised 5,260 6,000 7,200 8,642 487,600 - 7,200 480,400	5,260 6,000 7,200	1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00	
iii :	Dr. Cr. Dr. Cr. Dr. Cr. Dr. Cr.	Given more likely than not that Rubroc will be found guilty, Given that a single obligation is being measured, a provision para 40. Consequently, a provision is recognised for €15,000 and € Administrative Expenses Distribution Costs Provisions Rubroc suing its supplier may give rise to a contingent ass A contingent asset is a possible asset arising from past evocurence of one or more uncertain future events not whole However, a contingent asset is not recognised in the financin Rubroc's financial statements for the year-ended 31 Decolor Income Tax Current Tax Payable Other Receivables Purchases Bad Debt Write Off Trade Receivables Allowance for Doubtful Debts Allowance for Doubtful Debts Trade Receivables - Bad Debt Written Off - Allowance for Trade Receivables - 4% Revised Trade Receivable	a present obligation is assume on is made for the outflow of the outflow of the isomorphisms and is assume on is made for the outflow of the isomorphisms and is assumed to the isomorphisms and isomorphisms and isomorphisms and isomorphisms and isomorphisms and isomorphisms and isomorphisms assumed to the isomorphisms as it is a sum of	SOPL & OCI SOPL & OCI SOPL & OCI SOFP be confirmed by the by th	57,500 57,500 57,500 be recognised 5,260 6,000 7,200 8,642 487,600 - 7,200 480,400 - 19,216 461,184	5,260 6,000 7,200	1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00	
iii	Dr. Cr. Dr. Cr. Dr. Cr. Dr. Cr.	Given more likely than not that Rubroc will be found guilty, Given that a single obligation is being measured, a provision para 40. Consequently, a provision is recognised for €15,000 and € Administrative Expenses Distribution Costs Provisions Rubroc suing its supplier may give rise to a contingent ass A contingent asset is a possible asset arising from past evoccurence of one or more uncertain future events not whole However, a contingent asset is not recognised in the financi in Rubroc's financial statements for the year-ended 31 Decolorome Tax Current Tax Payable Other Receivables Purchases Bad Debt Write Off Trade Receivables Allowance for Doubtful Debts Allowance for Doubtful Debts Trade Receivables - Bad Debt Written Off - Allowance for Trade Receivables - 4%	a present obligation is assume on is made for the outflow of the following of the followin	SOPL & OCI SOPL & OCI SOPL & OCI SOFP be confirmed by the by th	57,500 57,500 57,500 be recognised 5,260 6,000 7,200 8,642 487,600 - 7,200 480,400 - 19,216	5,260 6,000 7,200	1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00	
iii	Dr. Cr. Dr. Cr. Dr. Cr. Dr. Cr. Dr. Cr.	Given more likely than not that Rubroc will be found guilty, Given that a single obligation is being measured, a provision para 40. Consequently, a provision is recognised for €15,000 and € Administrative Expenses Distribution Costs Provisions Rubroc suing its supplier may give rise to a contingent asset a contingent asset is a possible asset arising from past evoccurence of one or more uncertain future events not whole However, a contingent asset is not recognised in the financi in Rubroc's financial statements for the year-ended 31 Decolorome Tax Current Tax Payable Other Receivables Purchases Bad Debt Write Off Trade Receivables Allowance for Doubtful Debts Allowance for Doubtful Debts Trade Receivables - Bad Debt Written Off - Allowance for Trade Receivables - 4% Revised Trade Receivable Current Allowance for Trade Receivables New Allowance for Trade Receivables	a present obligation is assume on is made for the outflow of the floo,000 i.e. €115,000 + Expenses + Expenses + Current Liabilities set. ents whose existence will only lly within the control of the entitical statements and therefore, the cember 2015. + Expenses + Current Liabilities + Current Assets - Expenses - Expenses - Current Assets + Expenses - Current Assets + Expenses - Current Assets Balance per TB	SOPL & OCI SOPL & OCI SOPL & OCI SOFP be confirmed by the by th	57,500 57,500 57,500 be recognised 5,260 6,000 7,200 8,642 487,600 - 7,200 480,400 - 19,216 461,184 10,574 19,216	5,260 6,000 7,200	1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00	

Norking 2 - Expenses			Cost of Sales €	Distribution Costs €	Administration Expenses €			
Opening Inventory	Per TB		Sales €	COSIS E	Expenses €		Cost of	
Purchases	Per TB		1,236,570	_	_		Sales	
Purchases Returns	Per TB		- 10,869				00.00	
Closing Inventory	W1.ii		-	_	_		0.50	
at Refund	W1.ix		- 6,000				0.00	
expenses	Per TB			754,210	103,510		Distribution	
oss on Disposal	W1.iv			14,375	14,375	28,750	Costs	
Provision	W1.vii		_	57,500	57,500	115,000	2.00	
ad Debt Write Off	W1.x		_	3,600	3,600	7,200	2.00	
llowance for Doubtful Debts	W1.x W1.x		-	4,321	4,321	8.642		
ccruals	W1.xi		-		2,860	17,380		
	W1.XI		-	14,520			Admin.	
epreciation - Buildings			-	3,750	3,750	7,500		
epreciation - Plant & Equipment	W3		-	1,556	1,556	3,112	Expenses	
epreciation - Office Equipment	W3			85,000	85,000	170,000	2.00	
otal		:	1,219,701	938,832	276,472			
Vorking 3 - Property, Plant & Equipment				Office				
		Land	Buildings	Equipment	Trucks	Total		
		€	€	€	€	€		
Cost	Per TB	240,000	241,020	42,400	690,000	1,213,420		
ccumulated Depreciation b/d	Per TB		- 34,750					
arrying Value b/d at 1st January 2013		240,000	206,270	15,560	340,000	801,830	0.50	
evaluation Loss			- 6,270	-		6,270	0.50	
		240,000	200,000	15,560	340,000	795,560		
Disposal - Cost	W1.iv	-	-		- 75,000 -		0.50	
Disposal - Accumulated Depreciation	Note 1	-	-	-	31,250	31,250	0.50	
		240,000	200,000	15,560	296,250	751,810		
ddition	W1.iv	-	-	-	80,000	80,000	0.50	
		240,000	200,000	15,560	376,250	831,810		
Depreciation - Buildings	W1.vi	-	- 7,500	-		7,500	0.50	
Depreciation - Office Equipment - 20% Reducing Balance		-	-	- 3,112		3,112	0.50	
Depreciation - Trucks - 25% Straight Line		-	-	·	- 170,000 -	170,000	0.50	
arrying Value c/d at 31st December 2015		240,000	192,500	12,448	206,250	651,198		
ote 1 - Disposal of Truck			€	€				
ost				75,000				
ccumulated Depreciation - 25% straight line per annum								
epreciation 2013 - 4 Months			6,250					
epreciation 2014			18,750					
epreciation 2015 - 4 Months			6,250					
		•	31,250	- 31,250				
arrying Value of Equipment disposed		=		43,750				
- 1 0 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	Disposal Account		;	.0,.00				
Cost			Accumulated D	epreciation	31,250			
0000	. 5,500		Disposal Proce		15,000			
			Loss on Dispo		28,750			
	75,000			_	75,000			
					SUBTO	OTAL MARKS	8.50	
					т	OTAL MARKS	22.50	

ial Position	Credit	€	42,250	488,750	19.216		21.760		150,000	,		601 404		58,581	324.867				000,000	19,180	115,000			1,960,960
Statement of Financial Position	Debit	Ψ				262,410	734,730			240,000	42,400					480,400	695,000					6,000		1,960,960
t or Loss and	Sive income Credit	₩										10,869	2,478,560											2,489,429
Statement of Profit or Loss and	Debit Credit	ŧ		010	210,412			938,832 18,900				1,230,570 8,285	14,570						1 800					2,489,429
ent	Credit	€	15,000	340,000	8.642	20,000	5.260					6,000				7,200	75,000	75,000	000,000	19,180	115,000		7,200	765,976
Adjustment	Debit	€	7,500 3.112	201,250	172,902	15,000		184,622 5,260						6,270			80,000	75,000	1 800			000'9	7,200	765,976
	Credit	¥	34,750 26.840	350,000	10.574		16.500		150,000	•		10,869	2,478,560	64,851	30,000 324.867									4,090,930
	Debit	Ę		0.00	016,501	267,410	020,1,020	754,210 13,640	•	240,000	42,400	1,236,570	14,570			487,600	000'069							4,090,930

Accumulated Depreciation - Building - 1 January 2015
Accumulated Depreciation - Office Equipment - 1 January 2015
Accumulated Depreciation - Office Equipment - 1 January 2015
Administrative Expenses
Administrative Expenses
Allowance for Trade Receivables
Bank
Building at Cost at 1 January 2015
Current Tax Payable
Distribution Costs
Income Tax
Inventory at 1 January 2015
Issued Share Capital - 100,000 at €1.50 each
Comme Tax
Inventory at 1 January 2015
Issued Share Capital - 100,000 at €1.50 each
Comme Tax
Inventory at 1 January 2015
Issued Share Capital - 1 January 2015
Issued Share Capital - 1 January 2015
Issued Payables
Trade Payables
Trade Payables
Trade Receivables
Trucks at Cost at 1 January 2015
Loss on Sale of Truck
Long Term Loan
Finance Costs
Accurals
Bad Debt Write Off

SOLUTION 2

Step 1: Reconcile the opening balance in the bank	account with the		ng balance on t	he bank statements			
Balance per Bank Account - 01/12/2015 Add Items not yet Debited		28,754 - 28,754				1.00	
Balance per Bank Account - 01/12/2015 Add Unpresented Lodgements	:	28,471 670				1.00	
Less Unpresented Cheques Cheque Cheque	8751 - 8753 <u>-</u>	245 142				1.00 1.00	
		28,754				1.00	
December 31 Balance 31 Lodgement (19/12/15) - Difference 31 Lodgement			December 31 31 31 31 31	Lodgement (05/12/15) - Difference Cheque 8756 - Difference Gas Rates Closing Balance	€ 90 18 486 1,600 37,308 39,502	1.50 1.50 1.50 0.75 0.75	
Bank Reconciliation Statement Closing Balance per Bank Statement - 31/12/2015			43,265			0.75	
Less Unpresented Cheques Cheque 8755 Cheque 8757 Cheque 8759 Cheque 8760		548 1,654 1,499 2,147	- 5,848			0.75 0.75 0.75 1.50	
Add Lodgement not yet Cleared Lodgement - 31/12/2015		890	890			0.75	
Bank Errors 1245785621 - 23/12/2015	-	999	- 999			0.75	
Balance as per Adjusted Bank Account			37,308	·		1.00	
				SUBT	OTAL MARKS	18.00	

(b)	Human Error - There is more chance of errors happening in the bank account details in a company due to human error in inputting details.	2.00	
	TOTAL MARKS	20.00	

SOLUTION 3

(A)

RÉPORT

To: Financial Controller – Durine Limited

From: Future Financial Accountant

Re: IAS 16 – Property, Plant & Equipment (PPE)

Date: April 2016

(a) The amount that can be capitalised for this wind farm is as follows:

	€		€
Preparation of Site	80,000	Yes	80,000
Annual maintenance once operational	30,000	No	
Vat on materials (recoverable)	120,000	No	
Staff training on correctly operating the wind farm once operational	25,000	No	
Import Duty on materials purchased	28,000	Yes	28,000
Initial Surveying of site	40,000	Yes	40,000
Project manager salary to build and manage the wind farm	140,000	Yes	140,000
Wages of employees to build the wind farm	300,000	Yes	300,000
Annual wages of employees once the wind farm is operating	100,000	No	
Testing costs	60,000	Yes	60,000
Materials purchased for wind farm net of vat	250,000	Yes	250,000
Discount received on materials purchased	33,000	Yes	-33,000
Amount to be Capitalised			865,000

(5 Marks)

(b)

- (i) Per paragraph 15 of IAS 16, at initial recognition, all items of PPE are recognised at cost.
- (ii) Per paragraph 29 of IAS 16, two methods of valuing PPE after initial recognition are allowed i.e.
 - a) Cost Model i.e. PPE is carried at cost less accumulated depreciation and impairment losses
 - b) Revaluation Model i.e. PPE is carried at a revalued amount

The revalued amount is equal to the fair value at date of revaluation less subsequent accumulated depreciation and impairment losses.

(3 Marks)

(c)

- (i) Per paragraph 6 of IAS 16, fair value is the amount for which an asset could be exchanged between knowledgeable, willing parties in an arm's length transaction.
- (ii) From market based evidence by appraisal by professionally qualified valuers. (3 Marks)

(B)

(i) Per paragraph 6 of IAS 16, it should be allocated over its useful life

(1 Mark)

(ii) Per paragraph 51 of IAS 16, the residual value and the useful life of an asset shall be eviewed at least at each financial year end and if expectations differ from previous estimates, the change(s) shall be accounted for as a change in an accounting estimate in accordance with IAS 8 Accounting Policies, Changes in Accounting Estimates and Errors.

(1 Mark)

2,500,000

500,000

(iii) The depreciation amount is as follows:

Working - Property, Plant & Equipment	Buildings	Total
	€	€
Cost	2,500,000	2,500,000
- Accumulated Depreciation	-500,000	-500,000
Carrying Value b/d at 1st January 2015	2,000,000	2,000,000
Revaluation Gain	100,000	100,000
	2,100,000	2,100,000
Depreciation - Buildings - Note 1	-37,500	-37,500
Carrying Value c/d at 31st December 2015	2,062,500	2,062,500

Note 1

Buildings - Original Cost
Buildings - Original Accumulated Depreciation
Accumulated Depreciation / Cost = 20%

Building has been depreciated by 20% over 10 years (01.01.05 - 31.12.14) so annual rate ofdepreciation has been 2% i.e. 20% / 10 years as asset has been depreciated evenly since acquistion. Therefore the original useful life is 50 years i.e. 100% / 2% and the remaining useful life is 40 years. Therefore, the remaining useful life is 10 years

To Calculate the new depreciation amount, we use the following depreciation formula

Revalued Cost of Asset – Residual Value i.e. 2,100,000 - 600,000 Expected Useful Life of Asset 40 Years

Depreciation = 37,500 (7 Marks)

If you have any further queries, please do not hesitate to contact me.

Yours sincerely,

Financial Accountant

SOLUTION 4

				Old P'ship	New P'ship	ı	ı
Net Profit	108 000	split evenly per m	onth	€ 54,000	€ 54,000	1.00	
Less Loan Interest	100,000	Spin everily per in	ionar		- 600	1.00	
Add Interest on Drawings	Note 1						
Terry				360	-	1.00	
Thomas Toby				180 -	250 125	1.00 1.00	
ТОБУ				-	125	1.00	
Less Salary - Thomas - 2,000*6 M	1ths: 2,200*6 Mths			(12,000) -	- 13,200	1.00	
Less Interest on Capital	Note 2						
Terry				(750)	-	0.50	
Thomas Toby				(600) -	- 600 - 375	1.00 0.50	
Residual Profits			-	41,190	39,600	1.00	
			•	,			
Residual Profits Split Terry			2:1	27,460	_	1.00	
	1:2 in 1st Partnership	and 3:1 in 2nd F		13,730	29,700	1.00	
Toby			1:3	-	9,900	1.00	
			-	41,190	39,600		
Note 1 - Interest on Drawings				Interest on			
J	Amoun	t No. of Mths	Interest	Drawings			
Terry	€			€			
01.01.15 - 30.06.15	14,400			360			
01.07.15 - 31.12.15		6	5%		360		
Thomas	14,400)					
01.01.15 - 30.06.15	7,200) 6	5%	180			
01.07.15 - 31.12.15	10,000			250	430		
	17,200	_	• • • •				
Toby							
01.01.15 - 30.06.15	-	6		-			
01.07.15 - 31.12.15	5,000		5%_	125	125		
Total Interest on Drav	5,000)			915		
Total litterest on Drav	wiligs			=	913		
Note 2 - Interest on Capital		T	Th	Tabas			
		<u>Terry</u> €	<u>Thomas</u> €	<u>Toby</u> €	€		
50,000 x 3%	x 6 / 12	750	•	•	`		
- x 3%	x 6 / 12	-					
40,000 x 3%			600				
40,000 x 3%			600				
- x 3% 25,000 x 3%				- 375			
20,000 X 370	. V / 12	750	1,200	375	2,325		
				SUBTO	TAL MARKS	12.00	

(b)

Partners Current Account									
	Terry €	Thomas €	Toby €		Terry €	Thomas €	Toby €		
Balance B/D	-	9,900	-	Balance B/D	14,000	-	-	1.00	
				Interest on Capital	750	1,200	375	0.50	
				Salary	-	25,200	-	0.50	
				Residual Profits	27,460	43,430	9,900	0.50	
				Interest on Loan	600	-	-	0.50	
Goodwill		67.500	22 500	Goodwill	60,000	30,000	-	1.00	
Interest on Drawings	360	67,500 430	22,500 125					1.00 0.50	
Drawings	14,400	17,200	5,000					0.50	
Bank	88,050	17,200	0,000					1.00	
Balance C/D	-	4,800		Balance C/D	-		17,700	1.00	
	102,810	99,830	27,625		102,810	99,830	27,975		
Balance B/D	-	-	17,700	Balance B/D	-	4,800	-		
SUBTOTAL MARKS						8.00			
TOTAL MARKS							20.00		

(a) Commentary on Performance of Redona Limited from 2013 to 2015

Revenue increase / (decrease)

The decrease and increase in Redona Limited revenue appears to be in line with how the hotel sector has performed in the recession and coming out of the recession in 2014. The hotel sector in Dublin has shown a noticeable improvement in revenue in 2015 so Redona Limited has displayed a decent performance with its revenue over the period.

Non-Current Assets increase / (decrease)

Redona Limited appears to have carried out a sizeable capital improvement programme in 2013 with some residue of this spend rolling over to 2014. The 2015 spend is small and is consistent with the hotel not needing much capital spend given the refurbishment in 2013 and 2014.

Gross Profit Percentage

A good performance by Redona Limited in capitalising on improving market conditions in 2015 by increasing its rates and thereby increasing its gross margin. Again the results are in line with the hotel sector in Dublin.

Net Profit Percentage

Redona Limited performed poorly in this ratio. As Dublin gradually came out of recession in 2014, Redona Limited net profit percentage increased and showed the hotel was performing well. However, there has been a sizeable decrease in the net profit percentage from 2014 to 2015 which is very disappointing especially in light of the increase in the gross profit percentage. This is an area that the hotel management and shareholders needs to examine closely to identify and correct the reasons for the decrease in net profit percentage from 2014 to 2015.

Return on Capital Employed

Again a disappointing return and the decrease in profit is the significant reason for the decrease in the ratio. Underneath the line, the capital employed would have increased year on year which would also help to decrease the ratio but the change in profit from 2014 to 2015 has been the main driver for the decrease in the ratio from 2014 to 2015.

Current Ratio

The company has struggled with this ratio particularly in 2013 and 2014 but it is improving and 2015 is getting closer to the norm of 2:1. Overall, the result from 2013 to 2015 has been decent and continued focus on this ratio can ensure that it gets to a satisfactory level in the years ahead.

Acid Ratio

Again this ratio has improved from 2013 to 2015 but it is disappointing to see that it decreased from the 2014 level. Therefore, management need to find the reasons for the decrease and work to ensure that it gets back to the norm of 1:1 at a minimum. The 2013 ratio shows that the hotel was carrying a sizeable quantity of inventory which then decreased in 2014 and increased again in 2015. This is surprisingly high for a hotel and needs serious investigation as normally inventory would not be at this level in a hotel.

Debt to Equity Ratio

It is pleasing to note the improvement in this ratio from 2013 to 2015 as the company has paid down its debt and improved its reserves. The decrease has slowed down in 2015 which is probably due to decreased profits leading to decreased cash flow to pay back debt as well as the company trying to improve its current ratio by holding on to more of its cash.

Dividend Cover

This ratio is going in the right direction as increased profits due to higher revenue are helping to increase the cover. It also appears as if a decision was made to reduce the amount of the dividend and leave more of the profit in the hotel to be used for future investment. Management need to ensure that the shareholders are happy with the decrease in the level of the dividend given the increased profits despite lower profit margins, the hotel is enjoying.

Overall, the hotel is performing well with management's main focus to ensure that inventory levels are reduced to hotel norms and to remedy the decrease in net profits for 2015 while ensuring that the hotel improves its ratios overall due to a more buoyant hotel market existing in Dublin.

(12 Marks)

(b) Comparison

Financial ratios provide a standardised method with which to compare companies and industries. Ratios can put all companies on a relatively equal playing field in the eyes of analysts; companies are judged on their performance rather than their size, sales volume or market share.

Industry Analysis

Ratios can reveal trends in particular industries, creating benchmarks against which the performance of all industry players can be measured thus providing valuable information to users, shareholders, trade payables, banks.

Stock Valuation

Ratios help investors and analysts to evaluate the strengths and weaknesses of individual companies or industries and allow them to highlight companies to invest in or to avoid investing in.

Planning and Performance

Ratios can provide guidance to entrepreneurs when creating business plans or preparing presentations for lenders and investors. Ratios can also serve as an impetus for strategic change within an organisation, providing management with relevant guidance and feedback as ratio valuations shift in response to organisational changes. Ratios help to ensure managers perform by revealing financial weaknesses and opportunities.

Simplicity

It highlights important information in simple formats. A user can judge a company by just looking at a small amount of numbers instead of reading the whole financial statements.

(5 Marks)

(c) It depends on the service industry. For example, an accountancy practice will usually not have any cost of sales and therefore, the gross profit ratio is meaningless as all its costs are under expenses. However, a hotel while providing a service will have a cost of sales for its food and beverage operations and therefore, the gross profit ratio is relevant.

(3 Marks)

MARKING SCHEME

SOLUTION 1

(a)	Differences – 2 x 5 marks each	10
(b)	Workings Statement of Profit or Loss and Other Comprehensive Income + Statement of Financial Position	22.5 7.5
	Total Marks	40
SOL	UTION 2	
(a)	Bank Reconciliation	18
(b)	Explanation	2
	Total Marks	20
SOL	LUTION 3	
(a)	Calculation of amount to be capitalised	5
(b)	Accounting treatment at recognition Accounting treatment after recognition	1.5 1.5
(c)	Fair Value How should Fair Value be determined	1.5 1.5
(d)	Depreciable amount of an asset be allocated How often residual value and useful life be reviewed Depreciation amount	1 1 7
	Total Marks	20
SOL	LUTION 4	
(a)	Profit & Loss Appropriation Account	11
(b)	Preparation of Partners Current Accounts	7
(c)	Journal Entries for Introduction and Removing of Goodwill	2
	Total Marks	20
SOL	LUTION 5	
(a)	Commentary on performance of Redona Limited	12
(b)	Advantages of Ratio Analysis	5
(c)	Gross Profit applicable to a service industry	3
	Total Marks	20